


## Review process



Review process is divided into three parts.

**First part:** the presentation of papers in the conference. Only the papers announced in the program of the conference and presented orally in the conference can be taken into consideration for submission.

### Second part:

-all papers which not attending the aim and the scope of the journal will be automatically rejected;

-we check if the full papers received were not published elsewhere. For this purpose, we use a plagiarism program. All papers having more than 41% degree of similarity with others published papers will be automatically rejected. For the papers with 21%-40% degree of similarity, the authors will be notified to reduce the similarity degree between 0%-20%.

### The third part:

The papers not-rejected and having a similarity degree smaller than 20%, will be submitted for peer review and will be analyzed in:

- 1) **Title and abstract:** does they contain the essential information of the article?
- 2) **Motivation:** demonstrate the scientific interest of the results?
- 3) **Scientific merit and originality:** is the work relevant and novel?
- 4) **Diagrams, figures, tables and captions:** are they essential and clear?
- 5) **Bibliography:** it is recent, adequate, correctly cited and respects the template?

Each paper receives a score:

- a) **1 point:** Unacceptable
- b) **2 points:** Need Improvement

- c) 3 points: Acceptable
- d) 4 points: Good
- e) 5 points: Excellent

If a paper need improvement, the authors must reload their corrected paper for a new review process with the same reviewer.

Finally, the editors can make a final decision as follows:

- I) papers having 1 points will be rejected
- II) papers having 3-5 points will be accepted